

**MAT 137Y: Calculus with proofs**  
**Assignment 1 - Sample solutions**

**Question 1.** In this problem, assume all functions have domain  $\mathbb{R}$ . I will define a new concept. For every pair of functions  $f$  and  $g$ , we define the set

$$\Omega_f^g = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) < g(x)\}$$

We say that the function  $f$  loves the function  $g$  when

$$\forall x \in \Omega_f^g, \exists y \in \Omega_g^f \text{ such that } x < y$$

(a) Consider the functions Walt and Tor defined by

$$\text{Walt}(x) = \sin x, \quad \text{Tor}(x) = -2 \sin x.$$

Prove that Tor loves Walt.

Suggestion: Before doing anything else, find out what the sets  $\Omega_{\text{Walt}}^{\text{Tor}}$  and  $\Omega_{\text{Tor}}^{\text{Walt}}$  are.

(b) Let  $f(x) = 3$  and let  $g(x) = x$ . Prove that  $f$  doesn't love  $g$ .

(c) Which functions  $f$  satisfy that  $f$  loves  $f$ ?

**Solutions**

(a) • First, I will prove that

$$\Omega_{\text{Walt}}^{\text{Tor}} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } (2n - 1)\pi < x < 2n\pi\} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\Omega_{\text{Tor}}^{\text{Walt}} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } 2n\pi < x < (2n + 1)\pi\}. \tag{2}$$

Indeed, by the definitions of Walt and Tor I have that

$$\text{Walt}(x) < \text{Tor}(x) \iff \sin(x) < -2 \sin(x) \iff 3 \sin(x) < 0.$$

Since 3 is a positive real number, the above is equivalent to  $\sin(x) < 0$ , so Eq. (1) follows directly from the properties of the function  $\sin$  and the definition of  $\Omega_{\text{Walt}}^{\text{Tor}}$ . Eq. (2) is obtained in complete analogy.

• Now I want to show that Tor loves Walt; in other words, my goal is to prove that

$$\forall x \in \Omega_{\text{Tor}}^{\text{Walt}}, \exists y \in \Omega_{\text{Walt}}^{\text{Tor}} \text{ such that } x < y.$$

To prove this, fix  $x \in \Omega_{\text{Tor}}^{\text{Walt}}$ , and let  $y = x + \pi$ . Clearly  $x < y$ . I will now prove that  $y \in \Omega_{\text{Walt}}^{\text{Tor}}$ .

By Eq. (2), there exists an integer  $n$  such that

$$2n\pi < x < (2n + 1)\pi.$$

I can add  $\pi$  to all three expressions in the chain of inequalities to get

$$(2n + 1)\pi < x < (2n + 2)\pi$$

or, equivalently

$$(2m - 1)\pi < x < 2m\pi$$

with  $m = n + 1$ . This proves that  $y \in \Omega_{\text{Walt}}^{\text{Tor}}$ .

I have proven that there is indeed an element  $y \in \Omega_{\text{Walt}}^{\text{Tor}}$  such that  $x < y$ . Since  $x$  was arbitrary, this proves that Tor loves Walt.

(b) I need to show that the negation of "f loves g" is true. In other words, I need to argue that there exists some  $x \in \Omega_f^g$  for which I cannot find  $y \in \Omega_g^f$  such that  $x < y$ . Said even differently, I need to prove that

$$\exists x \in \Omega_f^g \text{ such that } \forall y \in \Omega_g^f, x \geq y. \quad (3)$$

Let  $x = \pi$ . Then:

- $\pi \in \Omega_f^g$  because  $f(x) = 3 < \pi = g(x)$ .
- Moreover, if  $y \in \Omega_g^f$  is fixed then

$$y = g(y) < f(y) = 3 \leq \pi = x.$$

This shows that  $x = \pi$  is an element as in (3), as desired.

(c) Every function  $f$  loves itself. Indeed, fix  $f$  and notice that

$$\Omega_f^f = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) < f(x)\} = \emptyset.$$

Therefore, the statement

$$\forall x \in \Omega_f^f, \exists y \in \Omega_f^f \text{ such that } x < y$$

is vacuously true, which proves the assertion.

**Question 2.** We continue with the assumptions, notation and definitions as in Question 1. Given a function  $f$  and any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define a new function, called  $f_t$ , via the equation

$$f_t(x) = f(x) + t.$$

Determine whether each of the following claims is true or false. If true, prove it directly. If false, prove it with a counterexample.

(a) Let  $f$ ,  $g$ , and  $h$  be functions. IF  $f$  loves  $g$  and  $g$  loves  $h$ , THEN  $f$  loves  $h$ .

Suggestion: It may be helpful to think of functions in terms of graphs instead of in terms of their equations at first.

(b) For every function  $f$  there exists a function  $g$  such that, for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g$  loves  $f_t$ .

### Solutions

(a) The claim is false. I will prove it with a counterexample.

Let  $f(x) = -\frac{3}{2}$ ,  $g = -2 \sin(x)$ , and  $h(x) = \sin(x)$ .

- We already know from Question 1a that  $g$  loves  $h$ .
- I will prove that  $f$  loves  $g$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let us call

$$c_n = \left(2n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \pi$$

Notice that

$$c_n \in \Omega_g^f$$

because

$$g(c_n) = -2 < -\frac{3}{2} = f(c_n)$$

Therefore, if  $x$  is *any* real number, there exists an element  $y \in \Omega_g^f$  such that  $x < y$ : just take  $y = c_n$  for sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

This is true, in particular, for every  $x \in \Omega_f^g$ . Therefore,  $f$  loves  $g$ .

- However,  $f$  does *not* love  $h$ :  $\Omega_f^h = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\Omega_h^f = \emptyset$ , since  $f(x) < h(x)$  for every real number  $x$ . The statement

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists y \in \emptyset \text{ such that } x < y$$

is not true.

This shows that there exist functions  $f$ ,  $g$ , and  $h$  such that  $f$  loves  $g$  and  $g$  loves  $h$ , but  $f$  does not love  $h$ .

(b) The claim is true.

Let us fix a function  $f$ . I define the function  $g$  via the equation

$$g(x) = f(x) + x.$$

Fix  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . I will prove that  $g$  loves  $f_t$ .

For every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  I have that

$$g(x) < f_t(x) \iff f(x) + x < f(x) + t \iff x < t$$

and therefore

$$\Omega_g^{f_t} = (-\infty, t),$$

and similarly

$$\Omega_{f_t}^g = (t, \infty).$$

Therefore, for every  $x \in \Omega_g^{f_t}$  the real number  $y = t + 1$  is an element of  $\Omega_{f_t}^g$ , and it is bigger than  $x$ . I conclude that  $g$  loves  $f_t$ . Since  $t$  was arbitrary I have proved the statement.

**Question 3.** Prove by induction that for every positive integer  $n$ , the number  $5^{2n} + 11$  is a multiple of 12.

*Proof.* The base step corresponds to  $n = 1$ , in which case I have that  $5^{2n} + 11 = 36$ , which is a multiple of 12.

For the induction step, let  $n \geq 1$  be fixed and assume that there exists an integer  $a$  such that

$$5^{2n} + 11 = 12a.$$

In that case we can write

$$\begin{aligned} 5^{2(n+1)} + 11 &= 5^2 \cdot 5^{2n} + 11 = \\ &= 25 \cdot 5^{2n} + 11 = \\ &= (24 - 1) \cdot 5^{2n} + 11 = \\ &= 24 \cdot 5^{2n} + 5^{2n} + 11 = \\ &= 24 \cdot 5^{2n} + (5^{2n} + 11) = && \text{(by induction hypothesis)} \\ &= 24 \cdot 5^{2n} + 12a = \\ &= 12 \cdot (2 \cdot 5^{2n}) + 12a = \\ &= 12 \cdot (2 \cdot 5^{2n} + a). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $5^{2(n+1)} + 11 = 12b$ , where  $b = 2 \cdot 5^{2n} + a$  is an integer number.

This shows that if  $5^{2n} + 11$  is a multiple of 12 then so is  $5^{2(n+1)} + 11$ , which is the induction step. This concludes the proof.  $\square$