

Problem 1. (20 pts) Construct a truth table for the following compound propositions

a. (6 pts) $p \oplus (p \rightarrow q)$.

b. (7 pts) $(p \rightarrow q) \vee (\neg p \leftrightarrow q)$.

c. (7 pts) $(p \oplus q) \rightarrow (p \wedge \neg q)$.

a)

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$	$p \oplus (p \rightarrow q)$
T	T	T	F
T	F	F	T
F	T	T	T
F	F	T	T

b)

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$	$\neg p$	$\neg p \leftrightarrow q$	$(p \rightarrow q) \vee (\neg p \leftrightarrow q)$
T	T	T	F	F	T
T	F	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	F	T

c)

(2pts)

(2pts)

(3pts)

p	q	$p \oplus q$	$p \wedge \neg q$	$(p \oplus q) \rightarrow (p \wedge \neg q)$
T	T	F	F	T
T	F	T	T	F
F	T	T	F	F
F	F	F	F	T

Problem 2. (20 pts) Use logical equivalence laws to show that the following compound propositions are tautologies:

- (6 pts) $p \rightarrow (p \vee q)$.
- (6 pts) $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$.
- (8 pts) $(\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)) \rightarrow q$.

Note: You will only get 50% of the mark for this problem if you use truth tables instead of logical equivalence laws.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a)} \quad p \rightarrow (p \vee q) &\equiv \neg p \vee (p \vee q) \\ &\equiv (\neg p \vee p) \vee q \\ &\equiv T \vee q \equiv T. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{b)} \quad (p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q) &\equiv \neg(p \wedge q) \vee (p \rightarrow q) \\ &\equiv (\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee (\neg p \vee q) \\ &\equiv \neg p \vee (\neg q \vee q) \\ &\equiv \neg p \vee T \equiv T. \end{aligned}$$

$$c) (\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)) \rightarrow q$$

$$\equiv \neg (\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)) \vee q$$

$$\equiv p \vee \neg (p \vee q) \vee q$$

$$\equiv (p \vee q) \vee (\neg (p \vee q)) \equiv T.$$

Since if $Q \equiv p \vee q$ then the above is

$$Q \vee \neg Q \equiv T.$$

Problem 3. (20 pts) I) (8 pts) Find the negation of the quantified statements

a. (3 pts) $\exists x \forall y (x^2 < y)$.

b. (5 pts) $\forall x \forall y ((x < 0) \wedge (y < 0)) \rightarrow (xy > 0)$.

II) (12 pts) Find a counterexample to show that the following universally quantified statements are false, where the domain of the variables consists of all integers.

c. (6 pt) $\forall x \exists y (y^2 = x)$.

d. (6 pt) $\forall x \forall y ((x^2 = y^2) \rightarrow (x = y))$.

a) $\forall x \exists y (x^2 \geq y)$

b) $\exists x \exists y \neg \left([(x < 0) \wedge (y < 0)] \rightarrow (xy > 0) \right)$

$\equiv \exists x \exists y \neg \left(\neg [(x < 0) \wedge (y < 0)] \vee (xy > 0) \right)$

$\equiv \exists x \exists y \left((x < 0) \wedge (y < 0) \wedge (xy \leq 0) \right)$

c) The negation is

$\exists x \forall y (y^2 \neq x)$

Hence $\boxed{x = -1}$ is a counterexample, since

for all integers y , $y^2 \geq 0 > -1$

As $y^2 \neq -1$.

d) The negation is

$$\exists x \exists y \left((x^2 = y^2) \wedge (x \neq y) \right)$$

Hence $x = 1$ and $y = -1$ is a counterexample

since $1^2 = (-1)^2$ but $1 \neq -1$.
(and)

Problem 4. (20 pts)

- a. (10 pts) Use a proof by contraposition to show that if n is an integer such that $n^3 + 1$ is odd, then n is even.
- b. (10 pts) Let x, y, z be real numbers and put

$$s = \frac{x + y + z}{3}.$$

Use a proof by contradiction to show that at least one of the numbers x, y, z is greater than or equal to s .

a) Let $p: n^3 + 1$ is odd $q: n$ is even

Then $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ ← (2 pts)

Here $\neg q: n$ is odd and $\neg p: n^3 + 1$ is even. (8 pts)

Now, if n is odd, then $n = 2k + 1$ for some

integer k . Hence

$$n^3 + 1 = (2k + 1)^3 + 1 = (2k + 1)(4k^2 + 4k + 1) + 1$$

$$= 8k^3 + 8k^2 + 2k + 4k^2 + 4k + 1 + 1$$

$$= 2(4k^3 + 6k^2 + 3k + 1) \text{ is even}$$

as desired.

b) Here let p : at least one of x, y, z is greater than or equal to S .

Assume by Contradiction that $\neg p$ is true. That is: all the numbers x, y, z are $< S$.

Hence $x + y + z < 3S$ since $x < S$, $y < S$ and $z < S$.

but $3S = x + y + z$. So we obtain

$x + y + z < x + y + z$ which is a

Contradiction.

Problem 5. (20 pts)

a. (10 pts) Let A and B be sets such that $|A| = 4$, $|B| = 5$ and $|A \cap B| = 2$. Find the cardinality of the following sets (justify your answer):

i) $A \cup B$, ii) $A \times B$, iii) $\mathcal{P}(A)$ (the power set of A), iv) $A - B$, v) $B - A$.

b. (10 pts) Let A and B be sets. Prove, without using a Venn Diagram, that

$$A - B = A \cap \bar{B}.$$

a) i) (2 pts) by the principle of inclusion-exclusion

$$|A \cup B| = |A| + |B| - |A \cap B| = 4 + 5 - 2 = \boxed{7}.$$

(2 pts) ii) $|A \times B| = |A| \cdot |B| = 4 \cdot 5 = \boxed{20}.$

iii) (2 pts) We have:

$$|\mathcal{P}(A)| = 2^{|A|} = 2^4 = \boxed{16}.$$

iv) (2 pts) We have $|A - B| = |A| - |A \cap B|$
 $= 4 - 2 = \boxed{2}.$

v) (2 pts) $|B - A| = |B| - |A \cap B| = 5 - 2 = \boxed{3}.$

b) We are going to show that

$$A - B \subseteq A \cap \bar{B} \quad \text{and} \quad A \cap \bar{B} \subseteq A - B.$$

Part 1, (5 pts), $(A - B \subseteq A \cap \bar{B})$.

Let $x \in A - B$, then $x \in A$ and $x \notin B$

Hence $x \in A$ and $x \in \bar{B}$ and so $x \in A \cap \bar{B}$.

Part 2, (5 pts) $(A \cap \bar{B} \subseteq A - B)$.

Let $x \in A \cap \bar{B}$, then $x \in A$ and $x \in \bar{B}$ so

$x \in A$ and $x \notin B$ and hence $x \in A - B$.